. R. S. 58]
Previous references.

97-98

File No. F 97

19

Serial Nos. 1 – 6

(police)

Later references.
163-18(1-9)

(47

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 10

on Part B for Aug 98

SUBJECT.

Powers of appointing police Head Constables in District offices

---

Confirmed

GPB—5,000—22-10-10

Subs to Secy for order Yr. [illegible]

7168
21/2/98. 189 J 1104

From

The Dy. Commr
Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
and Gen. Depts.

Dated 15th Feb:/98

Despatched

Received in J Branch 21-2-98

General No. 240
No.

Enclosure No. 7.

Correspondence between the D.C. Mysore & D. S. of Police re the appointment of Police Head Munshi in his office

Vide

The Dy Commr has sent Govt licenses to the D & C and he has no reason to take it up to Govt.

C
21-3-98

8716

1 What is the practice in other Dists — since the issue of Govt Circular No 2500 of 148
8. 2. 98

2 In the Dist Police Office Kitts a part and parcel of the Head Office and under Head C or is it, like Revenue, Munsl, most of the Depts, a part of Commr Kitts? Is there a separate Baralund for Dist Office?

3 What is the pay of the Police Head Munshi? (reverse in Q.)

4 In the present Kitts of Commr is the Dist Kitts included?

I shall be glad to have replies to the above queries as early as possible.

CPT.
21-3-98

References to be marked.
Copies of old circulars referred to therein, not being available here were called for from the Police office & received on 17-3-98
Refers put up. BBh.
18/3/98
Now available. Put up copies of Govt. Proc 1265-9 dd 27-10-85
The copies put up is only an abstract from the [illegible] Proc. Affirmed submit to Secy.
CL
19/3/98
[illegible]
21-3-98

Memo

The following information available here is sent:-

Answer to the 1st C.S's query No. (2) - There ... Separate Baraward for Dt. Police Estbt. It is Signed by the Supdt. of Police for by comm. of the Dt.

——— do ——— No. (3). The pay of the Police Head Inmm is Rs 40/-.

——— do ——— No. (4) On the recent revision of the establishments of the Deputy Comm. tea, the Police est was not include (Please see Govt. Prov. No. 11906-A dis 7.35-96 / 30-4-97, herewith put up).

Sub:- Information on the other points is not available in the Dist and may, if approved be called for from the S. Pls there

22-3-98.

No: 240
Enclosures No: 7.

Deputy Commissioners Office
Police Department

Dated - Mysore. 15th February
To the 1898.



The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the Government of
Mysore.

General
Departments

Sir

In submitting herewith copies of the
Correspondence which passed
between the Inspector General of Police
and me regarding our respective
powers about the appointment of Police
Head Munshi, I have the honour to
request that you will be pleased

as to lay the whole case before the Government and obtain their early decision as to whether nominations to Head Munshis' post are to be made subject to the approval of the Inspector General of Police or whether the list of such appointments need be sent to the Deputy-Commissioners exclusively.

My views on the points are fully discussed in my letter No: 278 dated 30.12.97 / 1.1.98 to which a kind reference is invited

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Sd/-
Deputy Commissioner
Hyderie District

2

Sealed Brief

24/3/98

8735

24/3/98

1 The various letters of the Plaintiff

2 It is clear law.

3 The Defendant Police Officer is attached to the Plaintiff who exercises general supervision & authority over all matters connected with the Police Force of the Defendant

4 The Habitual Criminals Act 1869 Sec 7 provides that a person convicted of an offence punishable with penal servitude for life or for any term of not less than 7 years may be subjected to police supervision for a period not exceeding 7 years from the date of his conviction or discharge from prison

5 The Plaintiff's petition of appeal to the Queen does not mention that he was convicted of a felony but merely that he was sentenced to penal servitude

6 The question is what is the effect of the Plaintiff's petition to the Queen in Council dated 20th Nov 1891 - was it duly presented to Her Majesty in Council & has it been dismissed by Her Majesty in Council or is it still pending before Her Majesty in Council

7 The Plaintiff has not appealed to the Court of Appeal against the order of the Queen in Council dated 20th Nov 1891 - The time for appealing to the Court of Appeal has not expired - Application for leave to appeal may still be made

4 (4)

Subd

The Dep. Genl of Police has, in
his letter No 3647 dated 13 Inst.
given a list of 40 Members appts
confirmed by him since Nov. 1897.
Their number was three, viz:—
1. of the 8th Members, Chitaldroog Dt., 4 Nov. 18
Kadur - 4 March 18
Kolar Dt.

/
/

8/4/98

Sealed Bag No 4
19-4-98

Make point back in the
Despatch and [illegible] and
substitute the following
From the Heads report
now read it is evident that
even subsequent to the 90 Rules
the Govt made upon the Dt. Bds
Act VI of 1883 & 84 have b
in force and the instruct
therein conveyed complied w
as regds appts of Members of
Dt Bds Govt - The enquiry [illegible]
must therefore be continue
The Dy Comm. present letter shd
still be retained for some
of the Heads of Dts in order
to ascertain how many
were states in the Memo of the
Govt of India dated 1 Nov 1897 and of the
that the Dt Bds Rules in
attached to the Dy Comm's
Proceedings, and also
responsible for all
connected with the Dt Bds
Govt. The Dy Comm should
know the power of
of the 40 should be

1897 7

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shyone District

To.

The Inspector General of Police
in Shyone.

Dated. 11/15. 11. 97.
No: 226
Enc: Nil.

I am reporting the death of Police
Head. Munshi Ramana of this District
from Bright's disease on the 9th Instant,
has the honor to inform him that Police
Deputy Munshi M. Raghavendra Rao
has been appointed Police Head
Munshi vice the said Ramana

(Signed) C Madeih
Deputy Commissioner
Shyone District

Deputy Commissioner

From.

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To.

The Deputy Commissioner Mysore
District —

Dated. 22nd
Despatched. 23rd } November 1897.
Received

Genl No. 1853
No 219

Rec: No

His No: 286. of the 11th instant

Have the honor to invite his
attention to this office Circular No. 2500/148. in file marked A
of the 8th February 1888 and to enquire
why the appointment was made without
the nominations being submitted to the
undersigned for approval.

by (57) V. P. Madhavarao
Deputy Commissioner Inspector Genl of

2

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To.
The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore

Dated. 29th
Despatched 29th } November 1897.

No: 246.
Rec: Nil -

His No: 1853/219 Dated 22. 11. 97

In reply has the honor to state that the undersigned is not unaware of the Circular quoted in the above and that as in his opinion this officer 226. of the 11th instant practically complies with the terms of the circular he forwarded nomination vacant rpt.

If however the Inspector-General desires that formalities should be closely observed the -

undersigned has no objection to give effect to his wishes. It may be added that in appointing Deputy Munshi Rajahavendra Rao as Head Munshi his qualifications were taken into consideration as also the fact of his having acted as Head Munshi on several previous occasions very satisfactorily.

The Undersigned doubts very much whether the circular issued by the late Inspector General of Police and quoted above is binding on the undersigned as it does not appear to be based on any Government Order.

"Offg" (Sd) C Madiah

To Deputy Commissioner

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

From

The Inspector General of
in Guyana.

To The Deputy Commissioner
Guyana.

Dated. 7th
Despatched 8th } December 1897

Genl. No: 2046/230.

Dist: No

Sir.

With reference to your docket
No: 246. D. 29th Ultimo, I have the
honor to request that the terms
of the circular may be complied
with and that effect may not be
given to the arrangement made by
the Deputy Commissioner until
the nomination receives the approval
of

of this office. A formal application may now be made in accordance with the circular.

(2) It will cause great inconvenience, to say the least: if it in the conduct of Public business, if, every time there is a violation of the terms of a standing order, it is opened to the Deputy Commissioners to question the power of the head of the department to issue the particular order especially after the order has been acquiesced in, and complied with for nearly ten years.

(3) I shall be glad to be informed how it is made out that the late Inspector General had no power to issue the circular and how the sanction of Government was necessary for its issue.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
V. P. Madhava Rao
Officiating Chief Secretary

"Copy" D. Mackenzie
Deputy Commissioner [illegible]

13

4

From.

The Deputy Commissioner
Hyderabad.

To

The Inspector General of Police
Hyderabad.

Dated 30.12.97.
1.1.98

No: 278
- Enc: Nil -

Sir -

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter No: 2046/230 dt 8th instant, I have the honor to reply as follows:-

With reference to the 1st para, I must again repeat what I have already stated in this office No: 240 dt. 29th ultimo viz that this office No: 226. dt 11th ultimo was in the nature of a formal application for sanction and as such fully complied with

P.T.O.

the terms of the Circular under notice, though such application, I now find, for the reasons given below need not have been made.

According to the terms of Government Order No. 450-60 D 1st November 91 printed at page 17 part (IV) Mysore Gazette. December 24. 1891 the "general supervision and ultimate responsibility in all matters connected with the Police Administration of the District" vests in the Deputy Commissioner who cannot be said be precluded from making appointments in the Force under clause 2, para 2, as well as the Office establishment which under clause 1, para 2, of the above order, must be considered as part and parcel of the Deputy Commissioners' Office, though in

* S.No. 4 in file 7:1 2049/1892 Heir. Inspr.

charge of the Superintendent or the Assistant Superintendent as the case may be.

If it was contemplated that appointments carrying a salary of more than Rupees 30. in the Police office Establishment required your approval the same would have been included in para 2. of the Government Memo appended to Government Order No: 4560 - 60 Dt 1.11.91 under reference. The omission in my opinion has been advisedly made by Government the fixation of such posts being left in the hands of the Deputy Commissioners as is the case in the Revenue & other Departments vide Section 20 Land Revenue Code, Article 65 in Mysore Service Regulation and Government Proceedings No: 8831-9 or 1113-92 Dt 17.12.92 where in the powers of the Deputy Commissioner

S No. 4 in J 7 No. 204 792

Extracts put up (in file A)

put up (in file A)

have been defined by Government
to the same Extent as in the —
Revenue and Excise Departments.

It may not be out of place
to mention here that the Police Office
Establishment existed before the
revival of the Inspector General's
appointment in 1885; and the
Deputy Commissioner exercised Ex-
clusively and practically the
powers of appointing and dismissing
to all Inspectors vide para 2,
Government Circular Order No:
753. D 25th January 1881, the in file A
28
same being confirmed in Government
Circular Order No: 766-26. D 11th
December 1884 (Column 5 para 2). in file A

The Circular Order of the —
late Inspector General dated
1888. restricting the powers of
the

the Deputy Commissioner is therefore inconsistent with the then standing orders of Government above quoted.

Again in the Memo of Government No: 460/60 dt 1st November 1891 only reference is made to the Nomination of Inspectors without mention being made about the office Establishment, the reason being that the powers of the Deputy Commissioner over establishment under him are clearly defined in the article of the Response Service Regulation quoted above. Wherein the word Establishment in plural is advisedly used.

S No. 4 in the file -

In these circumstances I repeat that a circular order issued in 1888 by the late Inspector General

General and which is opposed
to the Spirit of the Government
Orders and long standing usage
cannot be binding upon —
Deputy Commissioners.

I regret that my reference
clear a doubt on the point at
issue should be viewed as
"causing inconvenience in
the Conduct of Public business"
as expressed by you.

It may be mentioned that
this is the 1st time the Post of
Police Head Munshi become
Vacant in this District since
the issue of the Lake Inspector
General's Circular. Hence the
question could not possibly arise
Earlier.

Lastly a perusal of the last para of this office No: 246. Dy 29. 4 November 1897 will convince you that the powers of issuing departmental Circulars by the Lake Inspector General or yourself were never questioned as stated by you; but what is contended is that sanction of Government is necessary to take away any of the powers of the Deputy Commissioners in this respect and that it is not within the scope of a Head of the Departments to do so in the face of the fact that the Deputy

Commissioner

Commissioner has been exercising them from a long time

In Conclusion I wish to say that, in the light of the above remarks you still have reasons to disagree with me, the matter may be kindly placed before Government, for the issue of definite instructions.

I have the honor to be

(Sd) C Hadick

Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Assistant

Deputy Commissioner

1898

From.

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

Dated 28th
Despatched 28th } January 1898

General No: 2656/285

Sir

I regret to your letter No. 278 dated
30th December 1897 I have the
honor to state that the original
docket was not a formal applicat
for sanction as directed in the
Inspector

Inspector General's Circular No.
25-00/148 dated 8th February 1888, that was an intimation of appointment already made and to register that in compliance with that circular you will be so good as to send up your recommendation and leave the appointment to the Inspector General of Police. Note A

The circulars and orders quoted by you are, I am sorry, quite inapplicable to the case. I shall not attempt to explain how it is so, but I would invite your attention to the order of Government No. 1265-9 dated 27th October 1885 delegating the powers of Dewan in the Police copy put in H)

Department to the Inspector General of Police and to the Proceedings of Government No. 3146-7-J.F.-53-92 dated 25th August 1892 in connection with the institution of the Police Training School in Bangalore

S.N. 3 in J 7 No. 534 1892

1892 1893

The Mysore Service Regulations do not apply to appointments in the Police Department which are regulated by a special Set of Rules.

I may remark that the clear directions contained in the circular of the Inspector General should not be allowed to be departed from under the plea that the practice in the Revenue Department is different

I do not see any reason in the nature of the case to Government

And

"14

And trust you will by complying
with the terms of the circular save
me the trouble of further correspondence.

I have the honor to be
Your most obedient
Servant
(Sd) V. P. Madhava Rao
Inspector General of
Police —

Copy"

for Deputy Commissioner

Y

W 189 .

(2)

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To

The Inspector Genl of Police in Mysore

Dated 11th March 1898.

Despatched

Received

General No 1229

No. 1222

Enclosure No.



Has the honor to request that the Inspector Genl of Police will be so good as to furnish this office, for refee, with copies of the marginally noted circular orders.

(1) Govt circular Rde No. 753/28 dy. 25-1-81.
(2) —— do —— No. 766/26 dy 11-12-84
(3) Police office circular No. 2500/148 dy 8-2-88.

B. O.
11/3/98
for Secty

27. J 1225

Gen. Serial No. 31.] 8071

1898. 17/3/98.

or MY 3

J 17.3.98

Section P.C.
File No 1-97
Serial No 3

From The Inspector General of Police, Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, Genl + Rev. Depttos.

Dated 15th
Despatched 16th } March 1898.

Received in J. Branch 17-3-98

Enclosures No. 3.

Govt Cir: No. 753/28 of 25-1-81
— do — 766/26 of 11-12-81
This Office Cir: No. 2500/148 of 8-2-8

General No. 3303
No. 377

His docket No. 11229/1222 dated 11th instant.

Has the honor to forward herewith in original the marginally noted Circulars, and to request that they may be returned when no longer required.

K Chandy
Inspector General of Police.

Draft & subs for appln.

(5)

To

The Inspector General
of Police in Mysore.

No. 12299
9 1321

Date: 30-3-98.

Pgl
NO 1-92
erial No 24

~~Adverting to the correspondence passed between himself and the Deputy Commissioner, Anpara District regarding the appointment by the latter of Police Headmunshi in his district~~

Has the honor to enquire ~~report~~ the ~~he will be so good as to report~~ whether, subsequent to the passing of Govt order No (Camp) 450-60 dated 18th November 1891, any appointments of Police Head munshis were reported to the Inspector General and

from ~~the~~ districts and con-

~~found~~ firmed by him; and if so,
as early as possible
to request him ~~he is requested~~ to submit a

statement of nominations so

made and confirmed since

November 1891 -

By order

28/3/92

L Sleeman

Received
28 MAR 1892

30 f 1322

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.



(6)

Dated 13th
Despatched 14th } April 1898.
Received 15th "

General No. 3647.
No. 407
Enclosure No.

Annexing in the margin the statement of appointments of Police Head Munshis Confirmed by this Office.

Rep.
16-4-98

37

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 3647/607 Dated 13th/16th April 1898

Enc.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. 12299/1321 dated 30th Ultimo, requiring whether subsequent to the passing of Government order No. (Camp) 450-60 dated 4th November 1891 any appointments of Police Head Munshis were reported to and confirmed by this

District	Name	Remarks
Chitaldroog	Srinivas Rao	Nomination confirmed by this Office No 1510/73 dy 17.11.94
Kadur	B. Krishna Rao	— do — . 2841/212 dy 4.3.96
Kolar	Arunachellam Pillai	— do — . 2809/247 dy 3.3.96

this office, I have the 3rd honor to
annex in the margin the
Statement called for in the above.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

K Cleandy
for Inspector General of Police

No 33 Serial No 44

(7)

Read,

Correspondence ending with letter No 240 dated the 15th Feb: 1898, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt, regarding the appointment of 14 Police Head Munsheeks of his office;

Section PC
File No 1-97
Serial No 15

also letter No 3647 of 407 the 13th April 1898, from the I. Gl of Police, submitting with reference to this office ~~Communication~~ No 12299 of 21321 the 30th March 1898, a list of Head Munsheeks appointments confirmed by him since Nov 1891.

Council's Order.

Order thereon. No 14250-58 of 1457 28-4-98

From the I. Gl's

Memo

report now received, it is evident that even subsequent to the Govt. order (No. (Camp) 450-60 dated 2nd Nov. 1891, the Circular order issued by the I. General, no 2500/148 dated the 8th Feb/88, has been in force and the instructions therein conveyed complied with, as regards appointment of Head Munshees of Dt. Head Quarter Police Establishments. The existing practice must therefore be continued until further orders.

2. The Deputy Comm's present letter and its enclosures will however be forwarded to the I. G. of Police for consideration, whether having regard to what was stated in the memo appended to Govt. order of 1st

November 1918 and to the fact that the D.C. Head Quarter Police Office is attached to the Deputy Commr. who exercises general supervision and in whom rests the ultimate responsibility in all matters connected with the Police administration of his District, the Deputy Commissioner should not have the power of making appointments up to Rs 40 which ~~he has~~ in the same way as in all the other branches of the D.C. administration.

25/4/98

26/4/98
Secy.

To,

The Deputy Commr.,
Mysore.

The Inspector General
of Police in Mysore.

G. O. d
...
23.4

Jo J 1487

OFFICE OF THE
10463
26-5-98.
MAN OF MYSORE

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

189

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

(8)

Dated 24
Despatched 25 May 1898
Received 26
General No. 4207
No.
Enclosure No. 473

J 26/1798

Refer to Petn
DR
27/5/98.

Subd for orders
28/5/98
1-37
Submitted to Secretary to G
30-5-98

H.C.

Instead of
calling upon
petitioner from D'Jour
why not prescribe
the qualifications necessary
for the Office of Head
& require D'Jour
to make the appoint-
by selecting persons
possessing the required
qualifications — The
Govt would thus
be relieved of the
necessity of
an Order
21-6-98

Stating that the D.G.
would, for certain reasons,
continue the present practice
of the Deputy Commissioners
submitting to him all
nominations of Head
Munshies & pointing out
that the appointments of
Head Munshies would naturally
vest in the D.G. if their pay
be raised to Rs 50/

37

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE

No. 4207/473 Dated 24/25 May 1898

Enc.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

Adverting to para 2 of Government Proceedings No. 14056-51/J 1457 dated the 28th April 1898, I have the honor to state that while any interference with the powers of the Deputy Commissioners in the matter of making appointments in their office has to be deprecated, I find that it is not expedient to delegate to them entirely the appointments

appointments of Head Munshis of Police offices without any reference to the Inspector General. The post of Head Munshi should be interchangeable with that of Inspector as it will often be necessary in the interests of the public service to draft an Inspector into the Head Office by sending out the Head Munshi to take his place without thereby causing additional expenditure to Government.

For this and other reasons, I would continue the present practice of the Deputy Commissioners submitting to the Inspector General all nominations of Head Munshis.

I would here point out that the appointments of Head Munshis would

would naturally vest in the Inspector General if their pay be increased to Rupees 50 as recommended in this office No 2900/346 dated 14th February 1898. in file A (further information has been called for on this proposal

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant,

A Rangnaiengar

Inspector General of Police.

Jud

(9)

Read.

To

The Lt Col of Police
Ceylon.

~~Correspondence ending~~
~~with~~ His letter No 4207/473 of 24th
May 1898 ~~from the Inspt Genl~~
~~of Police to Govt~~ in regard
to the appointment of
Police Hd Immolis by Dt
Commissioners of Districts.

No: 16012/1607 28-6-98.

[illegible] 1-97 - 8 -
Serial No—

Order thereon

~~Government consider~~
with reference to the above
~~Letter~~ letters instead of altogether
taking away the patronage
from Dt Commissioners, it
would not be preferable to
prescribe the qualifi-
cations necessary for
the Office of Head Immoli
and to require that Dt
Commissioners in making
appointments should
select persons possessing
the required qualifica-
tions. This would enable

Has the honor to suggest that

ttc.

4,

the Insp: General, when necessary,
to utilize the services
of any Head Munshi
as an Inspector. ~~when~~
~~ever necessary~~: By order

6/6/98 Secretary 7/6/98.

-2-

The Insp: Genl of Police
of Punjab.

2/ The Dy Comm: Inspr Dep
Other Dy Commrs of Districts.

Subj: to Sec[y].
I am in doubt if the above
is intended to be a final order,
or an intermediate one for the Sec[y]'s opinion -
6/6/98

I have
altered the
draft
7-6-98

J Rose 7/6/98

ENCLOSURE — BLACK

Copy
7/6/98

for the Police Training School has ~~ex~~ just expired it is sub- that the words ~~for~~ District Police Schools for this may be added after the words 'from this' in line 9 of the proposed rule. PJ

The district Police Schools are not, if I can speak from memory in the absence of the original file, in- tended to train constables those qualifications the Head Munshis would possess, but only constables- so, the addition of the words suggested is not correct.

25

62 of 6

12

4-7-98. 1898

From

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

(10)

Dated 1-
Despatched 2 July 1898.
Received 4
General No. 25
No. 3

Enclosure No. one

Section 25
No 1-7-98.
No

Stating that D.G. has no objection to the Dy Commissioners appointing Head munshis and forwards for information copy of a circular prescribing the qualifications necessary for the appointment

subject to check.

The circular proposed to be issued seems all right, and is in accordance with the suggestion made in the 1st C.L., as embodied in the Secretary's D.O. letter of 01-6-98.

J Mitchell 25/7/98

Called for 1 copy

27-7-98.

27/7/98 9391 Convey approved

AH

28-7-98

27. 7. 98

J 4. 7. 98

References put up.

Yes Now that the Dt. Police Schools are sanctioned and 4/7/98 the period of sanction for

43.

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 25/3 Dated 1/2 July 1898

Enc. one

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. 16012/J.1607 dated 8th ultimo enquiring whether instead of altogether taking away the patronage from the Deputy Commissioners, it would not be preferable to prescribe the qualifications necessary for the office of Head Munshi and to require that Deputy Commissioners in making appointments should select persons possessing the required qualifications, I have

the

the honor to state that I have no objection to this proposal.

A copy of circular proposed to issue prescribing the qualifications necessary for the post of Police Head Munshi is herein enclosed for information.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant,

Inspector General of Police.

1898 (10/a)

From The Inspector General of Police in Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner of Districts

Dated } July 1898.
Despatched }
Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.



In modification of this office circular No. ×2500/148 dated 8th February 1888, has the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioners may make the appointments of Head Mrrs without previous reference to this office provided the nominee has passed from the Police Training School at Bangalore vide Govt Proceedings No. ×3146-J.F. 53-

No. 3327/377 of 15-3-98 from D G of Police

Ser. (3) of J.F. 530 of 1892.

dated 25th August 1892 and possesses the qualification noted hereunder.

i He should have a good knowledge of English in addition to his proficiency in Kanarese.

ii He should be efficient in riding.

2. These qualifications are considered essential in order that it may be possible to depute the Head Munshis to act as Inspectors when there are vacancies, or it is found necessary to transfer either temporarily or permanently an Inspector from executive duties to office work. The Deputy Commissioner will in such cases only submit a memo of the appointment immediately after it is made together with a copy of the official's service register for approval.

3. When the nominee does not happen to have passed out of the Police School, previous reference should be made to this office as at present.

Inspector General of Police

(11).

Read 17 Correspondence ending with Letter No. 25/3 dy 1-7-98 from the Dy Comr Nice in Cyprus, ~~submitting for approval~~ stating that he has no objection to the appointment of Head Munshis in District Offices being left to be made by Dy Comrs of Districts, subject to the persons selected possessing the qualifications specified; and submitting 4 copies of a circular proposed to be issued by him on the matter.

Section F
No 1-97
Serial No 10

Order thereon. No: 17-D/181 dy 4-8-98.

The circular proposed to be issued is approved

(1st Circular)

28/7/98

Under

To the Dy Comr Nice in Cyprus.